

5.38 Meet

8.36

Told inv re ^{John Brown} and arrest of AHMED
AHMED crucial, is also re FARRAGHER / Sophie FRAID

FRAID spoke re ^{John Brown}

Heaps of Q's – a big list

Asked re HS relationship w. SHIELDS – friend 10 yrs, met during Law course when Police had moot Crts with Barristers.

Put allegation from SHIELDS re relationship

21.23

“When did AHMED tell you about the \$20K.” HS says thousands, non specific, 20 bandied about by him, also 3,000

22.35

Asking about HS seeing AHMED earlier in the night before his arrest – 3 or 4 hours before HS asks why ask that ? b/c your conv with him before that may directly relate to the allegation. Asked who told you about that

Told didn't get it from T/I ,reason here is b/c spoke to someone else, that 's where it has come from, Admits not privy to full extent b/ c of obvious reasons.

Asked does this men end up subpoena'd

Asked re circs that Hs would make a statement, would, have to g'tee HS safety which you can't do, and guarantee what Q's would be asked

25.30

SWIND. Says you couldn't be in a worse situation than the old PURANA days (implying ^{Pill}) (ie: SWINDELLS doesn't know) HS says much worse now

Had death threat on the weekends, SWINDELLS offers to help, HS says dealing with it

ATT. Says understand there is a structure that you are dealing with that

What I know is v. limited , we have come with Q's based on historical stuff b/c ATT involved for 4 months, does no involve T/I L/D. HS says has nothing to hide.

28.30

HS meeting with AHMED prior to arrest – met in Bay St or similar. HS knew he had a large bundle of \$, 50's can't say. HS acting for AHMED father at the time trying to give HS \$, HS said no, give to solicitor.

Never met assoc WOOD.

30.00

HS receives ph call from ^{P14} (SWINDELLS mentions Tony to ATT)

HS says it is complicated b/c what do you reckon would happen to HS if made statement and got called to a hearing where had to answer q's. HS explains afraid of ramifications. HS criticizes ^{John Brown} as incompetent

33.00

Discussion if HS can narrow down how much \$ aske dif HS knew he had "dope" on him – saw no signs of using nor trafficking

35.50

Asked re hiow close to Tony – working for huim at one stage, at that stage not working for him bu7t was owed \$ by TM

Q's re ^{John Brown} what knew of him – nil

What did AHMED say about the missing \$ - Nothing, is a firm believer that "YOU DON't TALK" it's that simple

37.40

Asked if \$ belonged to TM – no idea

Any feedback from TM re the \$ missing ? HS asked does this relate to Oakleigh (ATT and SWIND .realise that this is MIECHEL

Asked if anything that HS knows re Oakleigh that hasn't come out ? Other things taken besides Ecstasy tabletys – HS replies yes, you nee dto speak to AHMED about that ^{P11}

38.56

Can HS lay foundation for them to see AHMED ? Complicated – doesn't want to appear that HS is acting for Police. One q will be what's in it for me ? HS belives ^{P11}

40.30

HS doesn't know if he would do it, but N/K how helpful would he be, but he is a bloke clearly telling lies to HS. AHMED is not bright, IQ of 71. AHMED's version in ROI is obviously full of lies. Has never admitted any type of trafficking to HS re that night,

43.00

Asked if AHMED could have kept the \$ and never got stolen. HS says no, the creddebiklyt issue with HS is in relation to trafficking

Did you ever tell SHIELDS that ^{John Brown} stole \$? Laughs – no, never spoke to SHIELDS re AHMED until after ^{John Brown} failed to serve some FSL docs re brief. Has come up in conv since then, much later, only to extent when SHIELDS suspended said HARGREAVES might speak to you all sorts of allegationsw/rumours and what you may know. HS said

HS asks how ESD brief on SHIELDS got leaked to media – told that was investigated. Speculation that TPA may have leaked it.

48.50

HS admits that currently has a lot of knowledge re pending arrests etc and therefore would no way talk to any media person, forget ethics, what about perverting the course of justice. HS admits being agitated about that.

52.30

Allegations re ^{John Brown} theft from FARRAGHER at number 102. HS unaware of this, FARRAGHER and girlfriend enver mentioned this to HS

Asked re approaching a male person KYATTs hotel, was this discussd with SHIELDS. Has seen SHIELDS once at Kyatts, friend of HS owns that pub maybe there once or twice

24/7/2004

Threat thru ^{Pil} ^{John Brown} someone approached her with a threat about Tony's money (that ^{John Brown} had) – HS has no idea. First time heard about this other than reading

55.45

HS doesn't understand why anyone would think it was TM's money, if 2003 yes but not 2004

SHIELDS mentioned something about myuch later, something to do with the notice of dismissal 68 Notice, the response mentions something about that Police woman

What did HS tell VANDERSTEEN ? Money went missing. HS doesn't know how much was missing, knows there was \$15,000 in the car. And he had other money on him. HS thinks 20 comes from the 15 plus the 5 AHMED had on him ., HS thinks the \$ she saw was around 5,000. !,00 taken from wallet was sent bacvk as a cheque for \$200 payable to AHMED

1.00

HS told AHMED re making complaint – he was not interested. He would have more confidence in ESD rather than OPI. HS asks why would anyone have confidence in OPI?

Didn't want to complain about \$ from wallet either.

1.02

John Brown

didn't believe HS has a stroke. Had phone conv with him and went on a Sunday afternoon went to Brighton PS and HS water bill, signed prop receipt. Asked re contact w. ^{John Brown} – minimal.

1.05

Asked if FARRAGHER or FRAID ever discussed ^{John Brown} with HS – yes. FARRAGHER got bail the day after and hell bent in offering to assist AHMED. HS believes gear in back seat of car was FARRAGHERs, the Just Jeans bag, some on the back seat and some under the front a brown paper bag –btaqbs and ox pf amphet. The \$15 was in the front (inaudible). HS thinks it was FARRAGHER's \$. HS reckons it was FARRAGHERs drugs also. HS throws privilege “out the door”.

Whan S/T AHMED on phone, told him he was fucked, AHMED said they're not mine, told if they are not then tell the Police that. He protects FARRAGHER in I/V, doesn't tell full story in I/V.

1.09

Clarification of movements as known by HS re delivery of drugs to 102, someone named WOOD. Thinks WOOD was a runner for FARRAGHER. HS thinks drugs were FARRAGHERS being sold by WOOD. Nil DNA/Prints of AHMED on bags.

1.13

HS can't understand why WOOD never charged.

Asked re ^{John Brown} going sick – N/K. ^{John Brown} spun crap at AHMED's bail app (heard second hand).. HS couldn't understand it

Why AHMED taken so long to appeal – put it in within 14 days, went it in 3 days.

Has disappearance of TM got anything to do with this matter.

AHMED's relationship with TM ? He was owed \$ by TM

Would AHMED tell about other allegations re Drug Sq ? Depends

Asked re statement voluntarily. Told would talk to Rod WILSON and get bback to you, HS says met him. Would like to see HS again. If AHMED or you don't make statement can't get very far. HS info is hearsay. HS believes he will talk to them.

Spoke today b/c of SWINDELLS, ahppy to talk to AHMED for you , if not the response will be go away. Needs to know what to say. AHMED will want to know, might do it if he thinks it will keep HS out of picture. Can't give HS that undertaking has to talk to Rod WILSON

1.20

AHNMJED is the best person, they need to talk to him. Corruption involving more than one Policeman with a couple of different situations, hopefully get a statement. Didn't want to drive down there to be told to piss off.

HS says can speak to him, AHMED rang 4 weeks ago to refer someone to HS.

ESD ask if happy meeting again, where ? OK

HS asked is this matter likely to end up at OPI ? Yes. Does that mean you will be giving these notes to OPI, has to talk to WILSON first. If goes to OPI has to go through Rod, Does that mean HS will cop a summons? Don't know. HS knows what attitude to an OPI hearing would be

1.24

If it negates the need for HS to go to OPI that's a different story. You could not possibly understand that stresses I am under., The threat that was made is not related to this situation – SWINDELLS suggests related to PURANA – yes.

ATTRILL suggests being seen speaking to Police may be a problem, HS doesn't agree.

SWINDELLS says something re Jim O'BRIEN ? ? ? Boss of PURANA ??

Possible strategy re AHMED – don't go into detail with him just say that ESD have approached HS about alleged corruption, he will say get fucked. Technically HS should not have spoken to you about anything today b/c it is privileged.

HS: Actually I talked about privileged things with somebody else who I thought wouldn't be telling anybody, but clearly they have

ATT: We are only here today b/c I was told you would talk to us.

HS asks by who?

ATT: I'll talk to Rod first That is something which not many people know about Gee I'm relieved, you have no idea. I (think I do, No you don't;f

SWINDELLS changes subject, asks about going to gaol. HS says to confront Carl WILLIAMS, re threats/harassment.

ATT : You can say I don't but I think I do know what you are going through
HS

HS: The person who has been spoken to me has been very very careful. To a large extent we're both in the dark about a lot of things. This enquiry is no secret,./

Somehow someone mentioned you and that was a big surprise. I've met

John Brown

and your relationship with SHIELDS and the 68 is important. Your presence some very high profile people and the questions re SHIELDS being a policeman has been raised. Whoever you have spoke to about anything I've got no idea, you have some professional rapport through PURANA with SWIND. And that is how it was approached.

HS; Someone told you about 20 thousand

ATT: Yes , that was raised

HS: Not many people know that figure has been talked about

ATT: No. But that person I'll be talking to that person after I've spoken to Rodney

HS: The whole SHIELDS thing why is it anybody's business (relationship etc . .)

Re emails assisting him re course. Etc It's ridiculous. If it was some corruption or interfere with a brief, check car regos etc fair enough, but this is ridiculous.

ATT: But we have an allegation he says we've been doing this together, should other people been subject to that

I understand there are some sexual allegations not relating to me

The VRC pass is another thing,

1.33

Going to gaol now

ATT will ring later on today

HS admits today v. emotional day (anniv. of stroke) SWINDELLS asked what we can do to help you. Nothing

11.10 Am concluded

1932