

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolved.

Richards-O  
**From:**  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 16 May 2012 10:29 AM  
**To:**  
**Subject:** FW: As discussed to fill some gaps if it exists

---

Hotham-O  
**From:**  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 15 May 2012 3:37 PM  
**To:**  
**Subject:** As discussed to fill some gaps if it exists

Hotham-O

---

- I have been able to reconcile most of the Contact Reports in the Interpose file despite the often jumbled order. However a gap seems to exist between ICR 045 and 046 when the 3838 reference was still being utilised. This covers a 12 day period from 16/9/06 to 28/9/06. 045 ends on 15/9/06 and 046 starts on 28/9/06? Prior to 15/9/06 and post 28/9/06 the source was generating multiple daily contacts. It is not explained why there should be no contact at all recorded for this period? At the start of 047 there is even a comment to explain it being unusual for a lack of contact for a sole day on 28/9/06. On the face of it, it is open to interpret that 12 days of reporting have been missed but there is no sequential gap in the ICR numbering to suggest a report or reports have gone missing. It does not make sense. Can you assist please?
- I have been unable to locate any Acknowledgement of Responsibility. Could you please direct me to this / these.
- I note that there were formal risk assessments conducted for 3838 on 15/11/05, and then again on 20/4/06. Aside from references to risks within the Source Management Logs were there any further formal risk assessments conducted by SDU / DSU? If so could I please be directed to these.
- From the ICRs and Management Logs I note that advice was sought from an [REDACTED] psych on 20/11/06 and that on 11/12/06 it was suggested to the source that consultations with a Psych [REDACTED] would be put in place. A number of sessions then occurred. Did such processes generate any advices and if so where would these be located? I am interested in any process for advice from there professional consultations to be incorporated into the file and ultimately be factored into risk assessment processes? (I also recognise that there are mixed views as to the worth of such assessments!)