

Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolved.

Summary - witness

PERRY

Waters tells witness on 1/4/07 that [REDACTED] killed Chartres Abbott

12/9/07- source says heard about [REDACTED] involvement in murder – 12 months before and passed to handler Anderson-DS

14/9/07 (Day of Age article) First mention of Perry being responsible for contract – where does witness get Perry's name from

16/9/07 – Witness cannot remember where she first heard about vampire murder – possibly from Waters

14/1/08 – tells investigators Valos had told witness about Perry involvement – **Valos denied same**

21/5/09 – witness tells investigators Perry had made direct admissions re involvement in murder to witness in Valos office

WATERS

Address

Evidence of number of statements by Waters that Lalor did not access Leap or any other database to get the address. **(Waters never says that this did not occur – witness takes it to mean that the address was obtained by Lalor but through another means)**

On another occasion he states that Lalor has assured him that he did not access the computers to get the address – so there was nothing to worry about there

13/9/07 Waters does not appear to know what the address aspect was about. Throws up a story about a check on a car Stash did (for Bluey) The allegation re address had been put to him day before at OPI

Evidence of Waters portraying himself as a person who is connected – and can find out things

Warrant

Evidence of corrupt payment of money (\$1500) to Lalor not for address but for execution of warrant

Evidence Waters was worried about Balmer's account at OPI re warrant arrangements



Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolved.

Knowledge

Waters tells witness on 1/4/07 that [REDACTED] killed Chartres Abbott

Denies this at OPI hearing (12/9/07) and also in prepared statement – possibly could say found out through the Sherrifs/Rejstovic re Goussis trial preparation. Sherrifs tells witness on 6/4/07 [REDACTED] killed vampire

[REDACTED]

Evidence of relationship between [REDACTED] and Waters

Evidence of Waters [REDACTED] Campbell being at [REDACTED] on at least one occasion – believes the date was [REDACTED] 2003

Evidence of Hodgkin being introduced to witness at [REDACTED] – 2003 - **Contradiction of Hodgkin account**

Waters concerned that witness has told investigators that she met Hodgkin at [REDACTED] in 2003 – does not square with Hodgkin's account

Evidence of chain of discussion from [REDACTED] to Mather and then to Waters at the relevant time – but this was about his getting his [REDACTED] adjourned and not any [REDACTED] – **Waters previously denied knowledge of warrant arrangements**

[REDACTED]

Evidence of Waters telling witness that [REDACTED] is the [REDACTED] – **Waters may say that he was told that after [REDACTED] had been interviewed.**

Consciousness of guilt

Waters demeanour throughout period reflects a consciousness of guilt ie trying to find out all about investigation, who said what, identifying pressure points he believes he can apply to investigators, putting self serving material over phone lines, being careful about where he spoke about matters – not over phone and not in sources office, Waters sitting off Supreme Court re [REDACTED] etc

Evidence of Waters assisting GOUSSIS defence to [REDACTED] – why

Evidence of attempts to obtain psychological testing on [REDACTED] from [REDACTED] to [REDACTED]

Evidence that Waters claimed to have an air tight alibi when meeting at [REDACTED] took place – yet never came forward with same.



Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolved.

Evidence of Waters/Mather trying to find out where █████ lodged

Waters asking about new GOUSSIS solicitor – Andrianakis with a view to looking at Briars material

Evidence of Waters stating that GOUSSIS could not help police in the way they thought – in other words he knew nothing that could hurt them

General

On a number of issues Waters is all over the place and inconsistent ie continually states that whatever █████ says would be crap then he says – unless it can be corroborated.

6/10/07 - Contradictory entries – Waters made no admissions and professed innocence versus there were no admissions, but did not say he did not do it

Witness – “Could you imagine if I was ever called to give evidence – I would be so confused about everything – who said what and when”

Issues

Direct admissions from Perry – however grave credibility issues around same

No direct admissions – Waters

Denials at various points - This is despite source being tasked to provide facts to Waters on behalf of investigators

Is source acting for Waters – at one stage source tells handlers that he will come to her for advice re OPI hearing – sounds like acting in capacity as solicitor. In other parts of material source makes it clear she has only ever acted for Waters on 56a application re Strawhorn – only other advice she has proffered has as a friend

Can prepared statement be used in evidence -

Witness very keen to assist – risk of embellishment/exaggeration

Witness - medical/psychiatric history and use of drugs - stroke 24/7/04

