"rigipp" <rigipp 10/03/2010 10:08 AM To <phillip.dodgson cc bcc

Subject Dale

Hi Phillip,

Just a note about an issue that arose this morning!

Hargreaves rang at 9.30 am this morning saying that he had been looking at the Informer Management Policy regarding informers and that the policy dictated that an informer management file must exist detailing all matters relating to the dealings with the informer. He said that as I was relying on the informer privilege claim, F was an informer so there must be an informer management file.

I said I had never seen one. I explained that F was an unusual case and that after O'Connell's discussions with her, Steve Smith got involved, then her sister and finally Piper Alderman got involved and Petra handed over Witsec discussions and informer compensation issues to the VGSO. I said that even though it did not fall within the terms of the subpoena, we had disclosed those matters in the letter of 15 February 2010 and said we would claim PII over those documents. I said that because of the nature of these dealings, then it was probable there was no informer management file in existence for her.

I said I would inquire with Shane O'Connell.

I have spoken with Shane. He advises F was a registered informer beforehand and it was probable there was an informer management file. However, these informer matters were unrelated to this case. I asked him to inquire of the Informer Management Unit and ascertain whether there is a file and if so did it fall within the scope of the subpoena, ie, is there anything in that file (if it exists) which identifies items in 4(iii) and 4(vii) of the Dale subpoena, ie, assistance for being involved in the prosecution of Dale, conversations, discussions, interview with F about her agreement to wear a wire when speaking with Dale, her agreement to become a witness and make a statement against Dale, being charged with a criminal offence or inducements, threats or benefit in relation to her becoming a witness against Dale.

O'Connell said he would make the inquiries and get back to me later in the day. As you will know I have to be very careful about not disclosing that she was a registered informer in the past in relation to other matters. Will let you know what the results of these inquiries are.

Regards,

Ron

	Lucia Bolkas <luciabolkas< th=""><th>To "Phillip.Dodgson <phillip.dodgson< th=""><th></th></phillip.dodgson<></th></luciabolkas<>	To "Phillip.Dodgson <phillip.dodgson< th=""><th></th></phillip.dodgson<>	
		cc	
	08/03/2010 06:07 PM	bcc	

Subject RE: Subpoena from Collins solicitor - Hodson Collins matter

Dear Phillip

as Ron Gipp is now able to come to court on Tuesday and he has /is going to prepare the affidavit, it seems that you will not really require me to attend. Ron suggested that subject to what you thought, it may b useful for me to attend so as to be able to take over if he is unable to attend on other days.

I am happy to attend tomorrow but I am also OK not to attend and just be available on other days if required.

My work on the matter so far has involved the reading of the correspondence file and the summary of facts from the prosecution brief together with a conference with Ron and a conference with the police on Friday at 6.30 for 1 hour regarding witness F.

I will call you tomorrow morning or, if you read this message before that would you please call me on

Kind Regards

Lucia

From: Phillip.Dodgson Sent: Friday, 5 March 2010 5:57 PM To: Lucia Bolkas Subject: RE: Subpoena from Collins solicitor - Hodson Collins matter

See you on Tuesday

Victorian Government Solicitor's Office

Phillip Dodgson Principal Solicitor, Victoria Police Branch

Victorian Government Solicitor's Office

Level 8, Building C, 637 Flinders Street, Melbourne Vic 3005

t +61 f +61 phillip.dodgson

www.vgso.vic.gov.au



Think Green before printing this email.

This email and any attachments may contain confidential and/or personal information and is intended for the addressee(s) only. It may be subject to legal or

other professional privilege. Any confidentiality or privilege is not waived or lost if this email has been sent to you in error. If you received it in error, please notify the sender by reply email, delete it from your system and destroy any copies. This email is subject to copyright. No part of it should be reproduced, adapted or communicated without the prior written consent of the copyright owner. Any personal information in this email must be handled in accordance with the Information Privacy Act 2000. The onus is on the recipient to check for and remove viruses.