From: Ms Street

Sent: Tue, 22 May 2012 15:17:35 +1000

To:

Subject: RE: Gaps for Hotham-O

Ok, I will be here

From:

Sent: Tuesday, 22 May 2012 3:17 PM

To: Ms Str

Subject: RE: Gaps for

In five!!

From: Ms Street

Sent: Tuesday, 22 May 2012 3:17 PM

To: Richards-O

Subject: RE: Gaps for

Call me,

Just the dot points

From: Richards-0

Sent: Tuesday, 22 May 2012 2:34 PM

To: Ms Stre

Subject: RE: Gaps for

OMG what did you tell JOC!!!

From: Ms Street

Sent: Tuesday, 22 May 2012 12:00 PM

To: Richards-C

Subject: Gaps for Hotham-O

Hi^{Richards-O}

Just letting you know I tried to check 38 files in Sheridan's office - to look for the files for I was going to meet up with Ms Street this afternoon re this.

To go through the proper process, S/T John re same to see if I can go look through files. Sheridan is sick today. So won't be looking through his files.

John advised me we have some files in a combination safe that refer to 38 - they are just the ICR's no other files and partial SML logs.

Trying to look for AOR for 38 - can't find one and no reference either even on SML or in ICR's. Was that policy in 2005?

The pysch stuff with - can't find references to advice received from her. Maybe she directly advised sandy white could be in hard copy diary,

Peter Smith

I spoke to re the date ranges that are missing, he will have a look at his diary etc...

Ms Street

- I have been able to reconcile most of the Contact Reports in the Interpose file despite the often jumbled order. However a gap seems to exist between ICR 045 and 046 when the 3838 reference was still being utilised. This covers a 12 day period from 16/9/06 to 28/9/06. 045 ends on 15/9/06 and 046 starts on 28/9/06? Prior to 15/9/06 and post 28/9/06 the source was generating multiple daily contacts. It is not explained why there should be no contact at all recorded for this period? At the start of 047 there is even a comment to explain it being unusual for a lack of contact for a sole day on 28/9/06. On the face of it, it is open to interpret that 12 days of reporting have been missed but there is no sequential gap in the ICR numbering to suggest a report or reports have gone missing. It does not make sense. Can you assist please?
- I have been unable to locate any Acknowledgement of Responsibility. Could you please direct me to this / these.
- I note that there were formal risk assessments conducted for 3838 on 15/11/05, and then
 again on 20/4/06. Aside from references to risks within the Source Management Logs were
 there any further formal risk assessments conducted by SDU / DSU? If so could I please be
 directed to these.
- From the ICRs and Management Logs I note that advice was sought from an psych on Phychologist 1/10A and that on 11/12/06 it was suggested to the source that consultations with a Psych would be put in place. A number of sessions then occurred. Did such processes generate any advices and if so where would these be located? I am interested in any process for advice from there professional consultations to be incorporated into the file and ultimately be factored into risk assessment processes? (I also recognise that there are mixed views as to the worth of such assessments!)