This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolved.

- brief that I served you, there was some material that was
- 2 missing, transcript at the some
- 3 consumer court records and also a transcript of
- 4 which you just got served last week and there was
- 5 some other material that, telephone intercept material
- 6 which you will get today as well. So that's what I mean
- 7 when I say a full copy of the brief.
- 8 You have got this letter I wrote on 13 September to the
- 9 OPP?---Yes.
- 10 And so there is - ?---A lot of that material you already
- 11 have.
- 12 Well, the second statement of we received?---Yes.
- 13 Have we got the records of interview of and the videos
- of that?---You certainly have the transcripts of it and
- 15 the videos are there for viewing if you wish.
- 16 You are not going to provide us with copy videos? Do we have to
- 17 make arrangements to see the videos in this case? Is that
- 18 how it works?---Well, I can certainly get some copies run
- off but they will probably happen through the OPP, I will
- 20 give them the copy of those today if you like.
- 21 Right, so that's not a problem? --- Not a problem.
- 22 Excellent. Any other statements made by
- unsigned?---There is none relevant to these charges.
- 24 None relevant or none full stop? --- None relevant to these
- 25 charges.
- 26 What about other statements that he's made, are you prepared to
- 27 provide those or do you take some sort of privilege?---I
- 28 would take be seeking public interest immunity to answer
- 29 that question.
- 30 On what basis?---Well, I would like to seek some legal advice,
- 31 Your Honour, before I go any further.

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolied.

```
I won't press you if you want legal advice. Any notes, tapes or
         videos of - that falls into the same category, I
2
          assume? --- No, well, a lot of those you can have. Most of
          the notes would be contained in members' notes and I am in
          the process of editing those members' notes now.
5
    Right?---So I should be able to get those to you by the end of
7
          next week.
    And tapes and videos likewise? --- Likewise, that are relevant to
9
          these charges.
    Any other material relevant to the investigation of
10
          You understand what that means?---Well, not really, but I
11
          would imagine that there would be some documents that you
12
          could have and which I am happy to provide by the end of
13
          next week but there would be some that I would be seeking
14
          to seek public interest immunity.
15
    Are you going to identify those documents for us, not this
16
17
          minute, but are you going to say, "Well, these are the
18
          documents we have got because we claim PII", is that what
          you intend to do? --- Subject to legal advice, yes.
19
20
    And hopefully you can do that by the end of next week? --- Yes.
    And let's be realistic, give us a timetable that you can manage,
21
22
          all right, because we don't have to come back here and you
          say, "We need another week", so you are happy about that
23
24
          timetable? --- Yes.
    Six, all documents relating to agreeing to give
25
          evidence for the Crown?---I have none in my possession and
26
27
          I don't believe there is any in existence.
    I am not concerned about what - you understand we are not
28
          concerned about what you have in your possession, we are
29
30
          concerned about what the Chief Commissioner of Police has
          got? --- As I said, my understanding is there is none in
31
```

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolved.

```
1
          existence.
    You would know, wouldn't you? --- I would hope I'd know.
2
    The situation is that has agreed to give evidence for
          the Crown, is that right? --- I agree, yes.
 4
    And there is absolutely - I withdraw that. Presumably he didn't
 5
          just out of the blue say, "I want to give evidence for the
 6
 7
          Crown" and that was it. There was some discussion about
 8
          it, was there? --- Yes.
    And you say there was no documents; does that mean all the
 9
          discussion and negotiation or whatever occurred about
10
              giving evidence and the benefits for him,
11
12
          whatever they might be, there is no documents relating to
13
          that? --- Correct.
    Are you able to tell us why? --- Why would there be?
14
15
    I won't worry about the statement of material facts at this
          stage, that's 7? --- You have that already.
16
    Well, it's debatable, but I am not going to debate it this
17
18
          minute.
                Now, the points I have made in 8 and 9 which are
19
          effectively, Your Honour, all statements capable of being
20
          admitted in evidence and all documents capable of being
21
          admitted in evidence, they are just taken, as you probably
22
          recognise, from the Magistrates' Court rules for committal
23
24
          proceedings? --- Yes.
25
    In other words, they are the - - - ?---Those statements were
          served with the brief.
26
27
    So, you say there is no other statements capable of being
28
          admitted in evidence? --- No.
29
    And you say there is no other documents capable of being
30
          admitted in evidence? --- Well, apart from what you go on to
31
          mention in, I think the only things would be running
    .WR:DM:CAT 10/9/04
                                                          BATESON XXN
                                   16
```

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolved.

- 1 CCRs, call charge records?---You will get them today when you
- 2 leave court.
- yideo and records?---You were served with that last
- 4 week.
- 5 Your Honour, that's all I have to ask. I just pointed out in
- 6 the letter that this wasn't meant to be a subpoena, it was
- 7 just meant to cover specific things we wanted. But can I
- 8 say that I think we have made great progress.
- 9 HIS HONOUR: I agree. I think that was what Mr Horgan had in
- 10 mind, what you had in mind, and it was an appropriate way
- 11 to spend some time.
- 12 Are there any questions that you want to ask, Ms
- 13 Gobbo?
- 14 MS GOBBO: No, Your Honour.
- 15 HIS HONOUR: Anything more?
- 16 MR HORGAN: No, Your Honour.
- 17 HIS HONOUR: Thank you, Mr Bateson.
- 18 < (THE WITNESS WITHDREW)
- 19 MR FARIS: Could you direct that today's transcript be provided
- 20 to us at an early date? I don't know whether that's
- 21 necessary or whether - -
- 22 HIS HONOUR: I don't think it's necessary but in case it's
- 23 necessary I will certainly indicate that I take the view
- 24 that it's appropriate that those representing all parties
- 25 should have a copy of that transcript.
- 26 MR FARIS: Thank you, Your Honour.
- 27 HIS HONOUR: It's still a question as to whether, having heard
- 28 that I have got a better idea myself as to timing, is it -
- 29 I would still like to keep an appropriate level of
- 30 pressure on you, Mr Faris, because you are the one that's
- 31 basically in the firing line as to take the next steps.

.WR:DM:CAT 10/9/04 Jedson