RCMPI.0109.0001.0001_R2_P

1 **Solicitor 2** If I may just address a few matters which is This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolved. 2 I do accept that and that is why I am withdrawing in

3 relation to any matters that relate to **1** and **1**.
4 The situation with this, however, and the submission
5 we'll be making to the ethics committee is that if I were
6 to withdraw from any - not even be present in court or
7 have any involvement with matters pertaining to **1** and **1** but still maintain the conduct of the remaining
9 matters, in light of the fact that - - -

10 HER HONOUR: When you say, remaining matters, what do you mean? 11 Solicitor 2 Continue with the trials as they presently 12 are but not have any - not be present in court or have 13 any involvement with the examination of No, I don't think that would be sufficient. 14 HER HONOUR: Т 15 don't think you can have anything to do with this trial 16 because you took instructions, I would presume, from 17 in respect of this proceeding.

Solicitor 2 I'll make indications - there are submissions 18 19 which I don't wish to air in open court presently in 20 relation to those matters but which I have spoken to the ethics committee about and I will, at some point in time, 21 22 address Your Honour in relation to those matters. 23 Whether or not he has waived his privilege in light of 24 the manner in which he provided such instructions which were primarily through Mr Williams. 25

The problem which does arise at this point in time is these matters are now falling into a scope where funding is not available but for Legal Aid. I have concerns in relation to Mr Williams receiving a fair trial given we are unable to find a solicitor in Victoria that would be willing to take on the burden of

3

RCMPI.0109.0001.0001_0008

1 those questions, Your Honour, I know there are further This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolved. 3 HER HONOUR: No, no, you have a copy of a document, right, it's in your possession, and you have deleted certain parts. 4 MR HORGAN: We have. 5 HER HONOUR: And my understanding is that you have to justify 6 7 why you have deleted those parts. MR HORGAN: Your Honour, I understand that a claim for public 8 9 interest immunity is still maintained for those deleted 10 sentences, but I am not au fait with that quite up to 11 date, I will just get some instructions about it straight 12 away. Can I just deal with one other matter, Your Honour. I have been instructed that 13 has 14 written to the Law Institute, because he's very concerned 15 about this question of conflict of interest, and I have a 16 copy for Your Honour of the letter that he's written to 17 the Law Institute on the topic, and I can read it into the transcript if that's a convenient way of doing it for 18 the benefit of - - -19 20 HER HONOUR: I think that's a sensible thing to do. MR HORGAN: - - - my learned friend. It's dated 2006 21 22 and it's to the Chief Executive Officer of the Law 23 Institute, "Dear Sir/Madam. It has proven necessary to bring to Her Honour's attention of a clear conflict of 24 25 interest in relation to my previous counsel, namely, Solicitor 2 26 and Having previously 27 represented me in the very same matters for which I now intend to give evidence in and be cross-examined on, it 28 would be most unfair not to mention an abuse of trust and 29 30 confidentiality to answer questions pertaining to matters 31 and material contained within my file, which is currently .TJ:MA 30/03/2006 LL16E 7 DISCUSSION

Williams