

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolved.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA
AT MELBOURNE

B E T W E E N:

THE QUEEN

- and -

HORTY MOKBEL

**CONFIDENTIAL AFFIDAVIT OF
TIMOTHY GEOFFREY JOHNS**

Date of document:	2 September 2008
Filed on behalf of:	Chief Commissioner Police
John Cain	Solicitors Code: 7977
Victorian Government Solicitor	DX: 300077
637 Flinders Street	Tel: 
MELBOURNE 3000	Fax: 
	Ref: R Loh

I, **TIMOTHY GEOFFREY JOHNS**, Detective Senior Constable of the Victoria Police, stationed at the Purana Task Force, Crime Department in the State of Victoria, **MAKE OATH AND SAY AS FOLLOWS:**

1. I am a Detective Senior Constable of the Victoria Police, stationed at the Purana Task Force, Crime Department. I make this affidavit by and with the authority of the Chief Commissioner of Police who is the recipient of a subpoena to produce documents to this Court in the abovenamed proceeding.

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolved.

Information sought: “Recently, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] instructed _____ how to cook amphetamines”

Objection: Prejudice police investigation and identify a police informer

The redacted section in this information report is the name of another person who is presently the subject of investigation for serious drug offences by the Purana Taskforce. Provision of this other person’s name would prejudice that investigation by revealing that that person is the subject of interest to the Purana Taskforce. Further, provision of the name could indirectly identify the name of a registered police informer who provided this information to the police in the first instance.

(3) **Information report 318**

Redacted and original versions – See Exhibit TGJ-3.

Information sought: “Subject: Dispute between [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] and Milad Mokbel [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]_____”

Intelligence holdings suggest that:-

_____.

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolved.

Objection: Identify a police informer

The redacted section in this information report provides information which would lead an informed reader (ie, with some knowledge of the criminal activity in question) to identify the name of a registered police informer.

(4) Information report 319

Redacted and original versions – See Exhibit TGJ-4.

Information sought: "Subject: Mr Cooper

 _____

Intelligence holdings suggest that:-

Objection: Identify a police informer

The redacted section in this information report provides information which would lead an informed reader (ie, with some

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolved.

knowledge of the criminal activity in question) to identify the name of a registered police informer.

(5) **Information report 412**

Redacted and original versions – See Exhibit TGJ-5.

Information sought: "On 23/02/06 Mr Cooper met _____

Objection: Prejudice police investigation and identify a police informer

The redacted section in this information report includes the name of a person who is presently the subject of investigation for serious drug offences by the Purana Taskforce. Provision of this person's name and other information would prejudice that investigation by revealing that that person is the subject of interest to the Purana Taskforce. Further, provision of this information could indirectly identify the name of a registered police informer who provided this information to the police in the first instance.

(6) **Information report 413**

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolved.

Redacted and original versions – See Exhibit TGJ-6.

Information sought: “Intelligence holdings indicate:

Objection: Identify a police informer

The redacted section in this information report provides information which would lead an informed reader (ie, with some knowledge of the criminal activity in question) to identify the name of a registered police informer.

(7) **Information report 481**

Redacted and original versions – See Exhibit TGJ-7.

Information sought: “Intelligence holdings indicate:

Objection: Identify a police informer

The redacted section in this information report provides information which would lead an informed reader (ie, with some knowledge of the criminal activity in question) to identify the name of a registered police informer.

This document has been redacted for Public Interest Immunity claims made by Victoria Police. These claims are not yet resolved.

(8) **Information report 514**

Redacted and original versions – See Exhibit TGJ-8.

Information sought: "Intelligence holdings indicate:

Objection: Identify a police informer and identify identities a family member of a witness

The redacted section in this information report provides information which would lead an informed reader (ie, with some knowledge of the criminal activity in question) to identify the name of a registered police informer. Further, the information identifies the name and location of a family member belonging to [REDACTED] which if revealed, may lead to that family member being placed in danger due to disclosures made by the [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

(9) **Information report 521**

Redacted and original versions – See Exhibit TGJ-9.