
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA

CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

MELBOURNE

THURSDAY 27 OCTOBER 2005

(22nd day of hearing)

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE KING AND A JURY OF FOURTEEN

THE QUEEN v. CARL ANTHONY WILLIAMS

1 material?---I've actually got a note of it in this folder.
2 4 August 2005.

3 Until then, it's your sworn evidence, is it, that he was not
4 given the transcripts of any telephone calls?---That's
5 correct.

6 HER HONOUR: When you said that material was served on 4 August,
7 that was 2004?---2005.

8 MR HELIOTIS: I now want to ask you about calls made to
9 Mr Magazis, his lawyer. You told us that ^{Mr McGrath} spoke
10 to his solicitor, Theo Magazis?---That's my belief, yes.
11 Is it your belief that in that phone call he was given certain
12 advice?---Well, I mean, you would think so. I don't know.
13 I didn't hear the conversation, but that was certainly the
14 purpose of the call.

15 Earlier than that call did ^{Mr Andrews} speak to his
16 solicitor?---I don't know whether it was earlier than that
17 call. Certainly they were both denied access to their
18 solicitor until we completed the execution of search
19 warrants and at that stage I was in charge of interviewing
20 ^{Mr Andrews} and Mr Buick was in charge of interviewing
21 ^{Mr McGrath}, so I'm not sure of the exact timing of that
22 call.

23 If it wasn't earlier, about the same time?---You would think so,
24 yes.

25 Did you in fact organise the phone call to his solicitor?

26 HER HONOUR: ^{Mr Andrews} or ^{Mr McGrath} .

27 MR HELIOTIS: For ^{Mr Andrews} ?---For ^{Mr Andrews}, yes.

28 Did you call Mr Theo Magazis?---Yes.

29 And allowed Mr Magazis to speak to ^{Mr Andrews} ?---Yes.

30 And to give him advice?---Yes.

31 And it was your belief that it was also Mr Magazis who was